Non-CO<sub>2</sub> Greenhouse Gases: Methane

**Source/Sectors:** Natural Gas Systems (Field Production; Processing; Transmission)

**Technology:** Replace high-bleed pneumatic devices with low-bleed pneumatic devices (A.1.2.1.4; A.1.2.3.2)

## **Description of the Technology:**

In the United States and worldwide, many efforts have been made to identify and implement mitigation options to reduce methane emissions from the natural gas sector (USEPA, 2003). For example, the Natural Gas STAR program is a voluntary partnership between US EPA and the oil and gas industry to identify and implement cost-effective technologies and measures to reduce methane emissions. The measures to reduce methane emissions from the natural gas systems can be grouped into the following mitigation strategies: prevention, recovery and re-injection, recovery and utilization, and recovery and incineration (Hendriks & de Jager, 2001).

During production, processing, and distribution, high-bleed pneumatic devices (powered by natural gas) will emit a high volume of  $CH_4$  to the atmosphere (USEPA, 2004; IEA, 2003). Field experience shows that up to 80% of all high-bleed devices can be replaced with low-bleed devices that emit much lower volumes of  $CH_4$  (Tingley & Fernandez, 2003).

Effectiveness: Good

**Implementability:** Good

Reliability: Good

**Maturity:** Good

Environmental Benefits: It reduces methane emissions.

## **Cost Effectiveness:**

Technology	Lifetime (yrs)	MP (%)	RE (%)	TA (%)	Capital cost	Annual cost	Benefits
Replace high-bleed with							
low-bleed pneumatic	5	50	86	8	\$14.01	\$0.00	\$8.21
devices <sup>1</sup>							

Note: MP: market penetration; RE: reduction efficiency; TA: technical applicability; costs are in year 2000 US\$/MT<sub>CO2-Eq.</sub> 1: USEPA (2004) & CEC (2005)

## **Industry Acceptance Level:** Good

Limitations: Only applicable to high-bleed pneumatic devices.

## **Sources of Information:**

- 1. California Energy Commission (2005) "Emission Reduction Opportunities for Non-CO<sub>2</sub> Greenhouse Gases in California", a report prepared by ICF Consulting for California Energy Commissions, CEC-500-2005-121, July 2005.
- 2. de la Chesnaye, F.; Harvey, R.; Kruger, D.; Laitner, J.A. (2001) "Cost-effective Reduction of Non-CO<sub>2</sub> Greenhouse Gases", Energy Policy 29, 1325-1331.

- 3. Delhotal, K.G.; de la Chesnaye, F.C.; Gardinar, A.; Bates, J.; Sankovski, A. (2006) "Mitigation of Methane and Nitrous Oxide Emissions from Waste, Energy and Industry" *The Energy Journal*, Multi-Greenhouse Gas Mitigation and Climate Policy Special Issue, pp. 45-62.
- 4. European Commission (2001) "Economic Evaluation of Sectoral Emission Reduction Objectives for Climate Change", Brussels. (Document can be found at <a href="http://ec.europa.eu/environment/enveco/climate\_change/sectoral\_objectives.htm">http://ec.europa.eu/environment/enveco/climate\_change/sectoral\_objectives.htm</a>)
- 5. Fernandez, R.; Lieberman, D.; Robinson, D. (2004) "U.S. Natural Gas STAR Program Success Points to Global Opportunities to Cut Methane Emissions Cost-Effectively", *Oil & Gas J.* July 12
- 6. Fernandez, R.; Petrusak, R.; Robinson, D.; Zavadil, D. (2005) "Cost-Effective Methane Emissions Reductions for Small and Midsize Natural Gas Producers", *J. Petroleum Technology*, June.
- 7. Hendriks, C.; de Jager, D. (2001) "Economic Evaluation of Methane Emission Reductions in the Extraction, Transport and Distribution of Fossil Fuels in the EU: Bottom-up Analysis", A final report to European Commission.
- 8. International Energy Agency (2003) "Building the Cost Curves for the Industrial Sources of Non-CO<sub>2</sub> Greenhouse Gases", Report Number PH4/25, IEA Greenhouse Gas R&D Programme, Cheltenham, United Kingdom, October 2003.
- 9. Lucas, P.L.; van Vuuren, D.P.; Jos Oliver, G.J.; den Elzen, M.G.J. (2006) "Long-term Reduction Potential of Non-CO<sub>2</sub> Greenhouse Gases", Netherlands Environment Assessment Agency (MNP), published on line November 28, 2006.
- 10. Tingley, K.A.; Fernandez, R. (2003) "Methods for Reducing Methane Emissions from Natural Gas Systems", *Proc.* 3<sup>rd</sup> International Methane and Nitrous Oxide Mitigation Conf. November 17-21, Beijing, China.
- 11. U.S. Climate Technology Program (2005) "Technology Options for the Near and Long Term", U.S. Department of Energy, <a href="http://www.climatetechnology.gov/index.htm">http://www.climatetechnology.gov/index.htm</a>, August 2005.
- 12. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1999) "Report on U.S. Methane Emissions 1990-2020: Inventories, Projections, and Opportunities for Reductions", United States Environmental Protection Agency, EPA 430-R-99-013, September 1999.
- 13. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2003) "International Analysis of Methane and Nitrous Oxide Abatement Opportunities: Report to Energy Modeling Forum, Working Group 21", a report prepared by ICF Consulting for the United States Environmental Protection Agency.
- 14. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2004) "International Methane and Nitrous Oxide Emissions and Mitigation Data", United States Environmental Protection Agency. Available online at <a href="https://www.epa.gov/methane/appendices.html">www.epa.gov/methane/appendices.html</a> (in Excel file).
- 15. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2006a) "Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990 to 2004", Office of Atmospheric Programs, United States Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-430-R-06-002, June 2006.
- 16. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2006b) "Global Mitigation of Non-CO<sub>2</sub> Greenhouse Gases", Office of Atmospheric Programs, United States Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-430-R-06-005, June 2006.
- 17. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2008), Natural Gas Star Program, <a href="http://www.epa.gov/gasstar/index.htm">http://www.epa.gov/gasstar/index.htm</a>, U.S. EPA, Washington DC, 2004.